

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.umpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	PIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/517,127	03/02/2000	Scott B. Moore	MI22-1246 4844	
	7590 05/02/2007		EXAMINER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300			BLBY, TIMOTHY V	
SPOKANE, W	A 99201		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			3724	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Non-Compliant	09/517,127	MOORE ET AL.	
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit	
Amenamont (a. a. t. t. = 1)	Timothy V. Eley	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence ad	dress
The amendment document filed on <u>24 January 2007</u> requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the item(s) is required.	is considered non-compliant amendment document to be	t because it has failed to r e compliant, correction of	meet the the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE SPECIFICATION: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not included by the second	.ide markings.	NT TO BE NON-COMPLI	IANT:
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet B. Other 	t. 37 CFR 1.72.		
 3, Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly iden "Annotated Sheet" as required by 3 B. The practice of submitting propose showing amended figures, without C. Other 	37 CFR 1.121(d). :d drawing correction has be	en eliminated. Replacem	ent drawings
 □ 4. Amendments to the claims: □ A. A complete listing of all of the claim □ B. The listing of claims does not included. □ C. Each claim has not been provided of each claim cannot be identified. number by using one of the following (Previously presented), (New), (Note that is a mendment paper). □ D. The claims of this amendment paper. □ E. Other: □ 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned and paper. 	de the text of all pending cla with the proper status identi Note: the status of every or ing status identifiers: (Original of entered), (Withdrawn) and over have not been presented	fier, and as such, the indi- claim must be indicated af- al), (Currently amended), I (Withdrawn-currently am in ascending numerical o	viduai status ter its claim (Canceled), ended).
See continuation sheet. For further explanation of the amendment format requirements for the same of			
		<u></u>	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NO			
 Applicant is given no new time period if the nor filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to result entire corrected amendment must be resubmit 	bmit the non-compliant after	n after-final amendment of -final amendment with co	r an amenoment rections, the
 Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days correction, if the non-compliant amendment is or (including a submission for a request for continu amendment filed within a suspension period und Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are non-compliant amendment in compliance with 3 	ne of the following: a prelimited examination (RCE) unde der 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), a checked, the correction req	nary amenoment, a non-i er 37 CFR 1.114), a supple and an amenoment filed ir	emental response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 C amendment or an amendment filed in respon	CFR 1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non- se to a <i>Quayle</i> action.	compliant amendment is	a non-final
Falture to timely respond to this notice will a Abandonment of the application if the notified in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-co	n-compliant amendment is a		

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

amendment.

Telephone No. Part of Paper No. 20070430

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)

Application No.

Applicant states on pages 29-32 of the remarks that with respect to claim 18, the examiner took Official Notice. However, upon review of the office action of August 24, 2006, it does not appear that Official Notice was ever relied upon. Due to the amount of remarks by applicant, the complexity of the instant application and the numerous related applications, applicant should indicate where the Official Notice is thought to be in the office action, in order to provide a clean record. Perhaps applicant is referring to one of the related applications?

-Binothy V. Eley Primary Examiner